Punjab Government Gazette

Published by Authority

No.25] CHANDIGARH, FRIDAY, JUNE 19, 2026 (JYAISTHA 29, 1948 SAKA)

PART II

Statutory Notifications and Republications from Gazette of India/Punjab

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi – 110001

Dated: 9th June, 2026
19 Jyaishtha, 1948 (Saka)

NOTIFICATION

No. 82/PB-LA/(08/2022)/2026.- In pursuance of Section 106(b) of the Representation of People Act, 1951(43 of 1951), the Election Commission of India hereby publishes judgment dated 27.03.2026 of the High Court of Judicature for Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh in Election Petition No. 08 of 2022.

By order,

Sd/-
SUMAN KUMAR DAS
SECRETARY
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग सचिवालय

निर्वाचन सदन अशोक रोड़ नई दिल्ली-110001

दिनांकः 9 जून, 2026

19 ज्येष्ठ, 1948 (शक)

अधिसूचना

सं.82/पंजाब-वि0स0/(08/2022)/2026:- लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 43) की धारा 106(ख) के अनुसरण में भारत निर्वाचन आयोग, निर्वाचन अर्जी संख्या 08/2022 में चण्डीगढ़ स्थित पंजाब एवं हरियाणा उच्च न्यायालय, के तारीख 27 मार्च, 2026 के निर्णय को एतद्वारा प्रकाशित करता है।

आदेश से,

हस्ताक्षर

(सुमन कुमार दास)

सचिव

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

EP-8-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision:27.03.2026

Harminder Singh ... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

Dr. Raj Kumar ... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- None for the petitioner.

*****

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant Election Petition was filed on 30.05.2022, the same came up for hearing on 14.07.2022.

2. An adjournment was sought on 14.07.2022 by the counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the arguing counsel was in personal difficulty. The matter was accordingly adjourned for 28.07.2022, on which such date, counsel for the petitioner sought time yet again. The matter was thereafter adjourned to 29.08.2022 and on the said date, it was further adjourned, by order, for 27.10.2022. On the adjourned date, a further request for adjournment was made on behalf of the counsel for the petitioner, which was allowed and the matter was eventually taken up on 09.03.2023. On that date as well, counsel for the petitioner sought time and the hearing was deferred to 13.07.2023 when the matter was adjourned yet again on the request of counsel for the petitioner. On the next date of hearing i.e. 22.01.2024, the Bar was abstaining from work and the matter was

accordingly adjourned. The case was thereafter and taken up on 02.12.2024, when counsel for the petitioner once again sought time. Even on the subsequent date, a similar request for adjournment was made on the pretext that the arguing counsel for the petitioner was in personal difficulty. Thereafter, on 22.09.2025, despite the matter having been called, there was no representation. The matter was accordingly adjourned yet again.

3. The matter was thereafter taken up on 16.01.2026 when Mr. Ravi Dangi, Advocate appeared on behalf of Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner and filed his power of attorney and sought time. The case was adjourned to 20.02.2026 on which such date, the case was further adjourned to 27.02.2026. On the said date i.e. 27.02.2026, a proxy counsel appeared on behalf of the counsel for the petitioner and submitted that the arguing counsel was unavailable on account of having suffered a fracture and sought an adjournment. The matter was accordingly adjourned to today, on the request of counsel for the petitioner. However, today there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

4. It thus appears that the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the present petition and is instead seeking adjournments one after the other.

5. The present petition is dismissed in default.

Sd/-
VINOD S.BHARDWAJ
JUDGE

The 27th March, 2026
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

3750/6-2026/Pb. Govt. Press, S.A.S. Nagar